California Child Welfare Services Outcome & Accountability County Data Report

(Child Welfare Supervised Caseload)
Santa Clara
January 2007

Quarterly Outcome and Accountability County Data Reports published by the California Department of Social Services (CDSS) provide summary level Federal and State program measures that will serve as the basis for the county self-assessment reviews and be used to track State and county performance over time. It is important that counties not draw comparisons to performance in other counties or even the State as a whole due to the differences in demographics, resources, and practice. The intent of the new system is for each county, through their self-assessment review based on their data, to determine the reasons for their current level of performance and to develop a plan for measurable improvement.

Assembly Bill (AB) 636 requires a series of measures that provide indicators of key program outcomes, processes, and receipt of critical services. The outcome measures are also, at a minimum, consistent with those outcomes of the federal Child and Family Services Review in that the federal indicators are a subset of the State's indicators under this new system. Under the new Outcomes and Accountability System it is expected that the state will not only improve its performance on the federal indicators but on an even broader set of state enhanced indicators. The data in this report focuses on critical safety, stability, family, and well-being measures that are currently available, and that are provided to counties for on-going assessment of their programs' performance. New data are added and some old data have been updated in this report. The data in this report reflect the Original outcomes for data available through July 1, 2006. We have also included Updated (refreshed) data for most time periods, run on data from more recent extracts than those that were originally posted. Differences between the Original and Updated values for these measures are probably due to improved data entry and cleanup efforts in some counties and Quarter 3, 2005 and Quarter 4, 2005 modifications in CWS/CMS to county of removal and placement counter variables. Uniform Resource Locators (URLs) included in this document direct the viewer to summary data across counties and breakouts by age, race, gender, and over time, including refreshed data for time periods earlier than those included in this report for all UCB developed measures. This Outcome and Accountability County Data Report will provide the state with a county-by-county detailed description of each element that comprises the service delivery system.

The data source for these reports is the Child Welfare Services/Case Management System (CWS/CMS), which became fully operational in all 58 counties on December 31, 1997. Counties are responsible for inputting data on CWS/CMS as part of their process to manage their caseloads of children and families who receive child welfare services. The accuracy of the information derived from CWS/CMS is continuously improving. As with any large automation system it provides a broad range of challenges and benefits as it continues to undergo improvements to keep abreast of the changing child welfare system.

Comparison of data across counties should be done with caution. First, counties may have

different data management practices. Though data are recorded on one statewide database system (CWS/CMS), differences in data entry and update may influence outcome measures reported here. Second, the social and economic contexts within which child welfare services are provided vary widely among the 58 counties of California.

In this report data measures have been grouped into the four general categories of information: Child Welfare Services Participation Rates; Safety Outcomes; Permanency Outcomes; and Child and Family Well-Being Outcomes. The data for these categories are presented as follows:

CHILD WELFARE SERVICES PARTICIPATION RATES

This section provides data on the number, and number per 1,000 children in the county/state, for key child welfare indicators. It is intended as background information to assist your county in analyzing your county's performance by the outcome indicators. This section was developed by the University of California, Berkeley (UCB).

Number of children < 18 in population

Population projections from California Department of Finance (based on the 2000 U.S. Census). URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrates

Year	Number
2005	443,170
2004	440,655
2003	438,071
2002	424,965

Number and rate of children with referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrates

Year	Number	Rate		
		Original Updated		
2005	14,261	32.2 per 1,000	32.2 per 1,000	
2004	13,953	31.7 per 1,000	31.7 per 1,000	
2003	14,263	32.6 per 1,000	32.6 per 1,000	
2002	14,757	34.7 per 1,000	34.7 per 1,000	

Number and rate of children with substantiated referrals

Unduplicated count of child clients < age 18 in referrals during the indicated year that had substantiated allegations, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/rates.asp#countyrates

Year	Number	Rate		
		Original Updated		
2005	3,226	7.3 per 1,000	7.3 per 1,000	
2004	2,996	6.8 per 1,000 6.8 per 1,00		
2003	2,700	6.1 per 1,000	6.2 per 1,000	
2002	2,840	6.7 per 1,000	6.7 per 1,000	

Number and rate of first entries

Unduplicated count of children < age 18 entering a child welfare supervised placement episode of at least five days duration for the first time during the indicated year, per 1,000 children < age 18 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/firstentries/Rates.asp

Year	Number	Rate		
		Original Updated		
2005	934	2.0 per 1,000	2.1 per 1,000	
2004	799	1.8 per 1,000 1.8 per 1,0		
2003	918	2.0 per 1,000	2.1 per 1,000	
2002	977	2.2 per 1,000	2.3 per 1,000	

Number and rate of children in care

Number of children < age 19 in child welfare supervised foster care on the indicated date, per 1,000 children < age 19 in population.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Pointintime/fostercare/childwel/prevalence.asp

Date	Number	Rate		
		Original	Updated	
Jul 1, 2006	2,078	4.4 per 1,000		
Jul 1, 2005	2,087	4.6 per 1,000	4.5 per 1,000	
Jul 1, 2004	2,093	4.6 per 1,000	4.5 per 1,000	
Jul 1, 2003	2,264	5.1 per 1,000	4.9 per 1,000	

SAFETY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the effectiveness of efforts to protect children from abuse/neglect by reporting instances of abuse and neglect at various stages of child welfare services and process measures which reflect the frequency of social worker contact with children and the speed of face-to-face investigation of abuse/neglect allegations.

Recurrence of Maltreatment (1A and 1B)

This measure reflects the percent of children who were victims of child abuse/neglect with a subsequent substantiated report of abuse/neglect within specific time periods. It is both a state and federal outcome measure. This measure was developed by UCB.

<u>Federal:</u> Of all children with a substantiated allegation within the first six months of the 12-month study period, what percent had another substantiated allegation within six months? (limited to dispositions within the study year, according to federal guidelines).

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_recurrence.asp

1A. Percent recurrence of maltreatment (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	8.1%	
04/01/05-03/31/06	8.0%	8.0%
01/01/05-12/31/05	6.3%	6.3%
10/01/04-09/30/05	6.4%	6.1%
07/01/04-06/30/05	8.4%	8.4%
04/01/04-03/31/05	6.9%	6.9%
01/01/04-12/31/04	6.1%	6.1%
10/01/03-09/30/04	7.8%	7.8%
07/01/03-06/30/04	8.1%	8.2%
04/01/03-03/31/04	10.1%	10.1%
01/01/03-12/31/03	9.1%	9.0%
10/01/02-09/30/03	8.7%	8.7%
07/01/02-06/30/03	8.8%	8.6%

<u>State:</u> Of all children with a substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp

1B. Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months			
12-month study period	Original	Updated	
07/01/04-06/30/05	9.8%		
04/01/04-03/31/05	9.6%	9.6%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	10.7%	10.7%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	10.7%	10.7%	
07/01/03-06/30/04	11.4%	11.4%	
04/01/03-03/31/04	12.8%	12.8%	
01/01/03-12/31/03	12.1%	12.1%	
10/01/02-09/30/03	12.5%	12.5%	
07/01/02-06/30/03	10.9%	10.8%	
04/01/02-03/31/03	9.9%	9.8%	
01/01/02-12/31/02	10.8%	10.8%	
10/01/01-09/30/02	10.4%	10.5%	
07/01/01-06/30/02	10.4%	10.5%	

<u>State</u>: Of all children with a *first* substantiated referral during the 12-month study period, what percent had a subsequent substantiated referral within 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Referrals/recurrence.asp

1B. Percent recurrence of maltreatment within 12 months after first substantiated						
allegation						
12-month study period Original Updated						
07/01/04-06/30/05	9.1%	-				
04/01/04-03/31/05	9.0%	9.0%				
01/01/04-12/31/04	10.6%	10.6%				
10/01/03-09/30/04	10.6%	10.6%				
07/01/03-06/30/04	10.9%	10.9%				
04/01/03-03/31/04	11.8%	11.9%				
01/01/03-12/31/03	10.3%	10.3%				
10/01/02-09/30/03	10.6%	10.5%				
07/01/02-06/30/03	9.8%	9.8%				
04/01/02-03/31/03	8.8%	8.8%				
01/01/02-12/31/02	10.2%	10.3%				
10/01/01-09/30/02	9.7%	9.8%				
07/01/01-06/30/02	9.3%	9.4%				

Rate of Child Abuse and/or Neglect in Foster Care (1C)

This measure reflects the percent of children in foster care who are abused or neglected while in foster care placement. This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal outcome measure, but for a period of 12 months instead of 9 months.

For all children in child welfare supervised foster care during the twelve month review period, what percent had a substantiated allegation by a foster parent during that time?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr abuse.asp

1C. Percent rate of child abuse and/or neglect in foster care (Fed) ¹				
12-month study period	Original	Updated		
07/01/05-06/30/06	0.00%			
04/01/05-03/31/06	0.00%	0.00%		
01/01/05-12/31/05	0.03%	0.03%		
10/01/04-09/30/05	0.03%	0.03%		
07/01/04-06/30/05	0.03%	0.03%		
04/01/04-03/31/05	0.03%	0.00%		
01/01/04-12/31/04	0.03%	0.00%		
10/01/03-09/30/04	0.03%	0.00%		
07/01/03-06/30/04	0.00%	0.00%		
04/01/03-03/31/04	0.00%	0.00%		
01/01/03-12/31/03	0.00%	0.00%		
10/01/02-09/30/03	0.00%	0.00%		
07/01/02-06/30/03	0.00%	0.00%		

¹ As of Quarter 4, 2005, the CFSR abuse in care reports have employed a new method and should not be compared to the previously published abuse in care measure:

^{1.} This new method includes all children served, not just those in non-relative foster care (foster homes or FFAs) and covers a 12 month period. For details, please see the methodology at:

http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/method ACLabuseinCare.html

^{2.} Capturing this data involves new data instructions for counties. An All County Letter (ACL), distributed on December 3, 2003, discusses the method of populating the necessary variables.

The ACL can be viewed at: http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/getinfo/acl03/pdf/03-61.pdf

As a result, an increase in rates over time likely reflects the adoption of the new data entry procedures, not necessarily an increase in abuse.

Rate of Recurrence of Abuse and/or Neglect in Homes Where Children Were Not Removed (2A) This measure reflects the occurrence of abuse and/or neglect of children who remain in their own homes. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

Of all the children with allegation (inconclusive or substantiated) during the 12-month study period who were not removed, what percent had a subsequent substantiated allegation within 12 months? URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2A

2A. Percent rate of recurrence of abuse/neglect in homes where children were not removed		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
		Opualeu
07/01/04-06/30/05	5.9%	
04/01/04-03/31/05	5.9%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	6.5%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	6.9%	
07/01/03-06/30/04	7.0%	7.0%
04/01/03-03/31/04	6.9%	6.9%
01/01/03-12/31/03	6.9%	6.8%
10/01/02-09/30/03	6.2%	6.2%
07/01/02-06/30/03	5.9%	5.9%
04/01/02-03/31/03	5.3%	5.3%
01/01/02-12/31/02	6.6%	6.7%
10/01/01-09/30/02	6.2%	6.3%
07/01/01-06/30/02	6.3%	

Child Abuse/Neglect Referrals with a Timely Response (2B)

This is a process measure designed to determine the percent of cases in which face-to-face contact with a child occurs, or is attempted, within the regulatory time frames in those situations in which a determination is made that the abuse or neglect allegations indicate significant danger to the child. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure.

Percent of child abuse and neglect referrals that require an investigation in the study quarter that have resulted in an in-person investigation stratified by immediate response and ten-day referrals, for both planned and actual visits.

. URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2B

2B. Percent of child abuse/neglect referrals with a timely response				
	Immediate Response		10-Day Response Compliance	
	Compliance			-
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
Q2 2006*	94.8%		94.8%	
Q1 2006	95.0%		96.1%	
Q4 2005	94.5%		96.6%	
Q3 2005	91.6%		96.6%	
Q2 2005	96.6%	96.9%	96.2%	96.2%
Q1 2005	94.4%	94.2%	91.7%	91.6%
Q4 2004	93.2%	93.0%	88.7%	88.6%
Q3 2004	91.8%	91.6%	90.0%	89.8%
Q2 2004	95.2%	95.2%	85.4%	85.3%
Q1 2004	91.5%	91.5%	82.0%	82.0%
Q4 2003	91.4%	91.5%	74.6%	74.2%
Q3 2003	90.6%	90.4%	75.6%	75.3%
Q2 2003	91.2%		74.7%	

^{*} Starting in Q2 2006, the methodology was changed. Comparisons with previous quarters should not be made.

Timely Social Worker Visits With Child (2C)

This is a process measure designed to determine if social workers are seeing the children on a monthly basis when that is required. Children for whom a determination is made that monthly visits are not necessary (e.g. valid visit exception) are not included in this measure. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state process measure. This report is based on CWS/CMS only. (Other data analysis measurements such as the SafeMeasures application may provide different results.)

Of all children who required a monthly social worker visit, how many received a monthly visit? URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#2C

2C. Percent of timely social worker visits with child ²							
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	
Q2 2006	Apr 2006 92.4%		May	May 2006		Jun 2006	
	92.4%		92.8%		92.4%		
Q1 2006	Jan	2006	Feb	2006	Mar	2006	
	92.3%		92.8%		93.2%		
Q4 2005	Oct	2005	Nov	2005	Dec	2005	
	92.1%		91.8%		92.4%	-	
Q3 2005	Jul 2	2005	Aug		Sep		
	92.3%		92.5%		92.7%	-	
Q2 2005	Apr	2005	May		Jun :		
	92.2%		93.0%		93.3%	-	
Q1 2005	Jan 2005		Feb 2005		Mar 2005		
	91.8%		92.1%		92.8%		
Q4 2004	Oct 2004		Nov 2004		Dec 2004		
	87.7%		88.7%		89.3%		
Q3 2004	Jul 2	2004	Aug 2004		Sep	2004	
	85.8%		86.3%		86.6%	-	
Q2 2004	Apr 2004		May	2004	June		
	85.8%	83.8%	85.8%	84.6%	85.7%	85.7%	
Q1 2004	Jan 2004		Feb		Mar	2004	
	81.0%	81.0%	81.6%	81.6%	83.2%	83.2%	
Q4 2003	Oct	2003	Nov	2003	Dec	2003	
		77.7%	78.7%	78.7%	79.5%	79.5%	
Q3 2003	Jul 2	2003	Aug	2003	Sep	2003	
	75.4%		76.2%		77.1%		
Q2 2003	Apr :	2003	May	2003	Jun :		
	71.8%		72.9%		74.0%		

_

² Updates to the measure 2C code beginning in Quarter 4, 2004 resulted in a small jump in the percentages from Quarter 3, 2004 to Quarter 4, 2004

PERMANENCY OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the number of foster care placements for each child, the length of time a child is in foster care, and the rate that children re-enter foster care after they have returned home or other permanent care arrangements have been made.

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Reunification (3E and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children reunified within 12 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

<u>Federal</u>: Of all children who were reunified from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3E. Percent reunified within 12 months (Fed)			
12-month study period	Original	Updated	
07/01/05-06/30/06	79.8%		
04/01/05-03/31/06	79.2%	79.2%	
01/01/05-12/31/05	76.9%	77.2%	
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	76.5%	
07/01/04-06/30/05	76.4%	75.7%	
04/01/04-03/31/05	79.2%	78.3%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	80.1%	79.7%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	81.6%	81.3%	
07/01/03-06/30/04	80.9%	81.1%	
04/01/03-03/31/04	78.2%	77.9%	
01/01/03-12/31/03	80.7%	79.9%	
10/01/02-09/30/03	78.8%	78.5%	
07/01/02-06/30/03	80.9%	80.4%	

<u>State</u>: For all children who entered foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were reunified within 12 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/

3A. Percent reunified within 12 months (entry cohort)			
12-month study period	Original	Updated	
07/01/04-06/30/05	40.9%		
04/01/04-03/31/05	41.9%	45.2%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	41.2%	44.5%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	N.A.	43.5%	
07/01/03-06/30/04	44.1%	46.5%	
04/01/03-03/31/04	46.7%	48.0%	
01/01/03-12/31/03	48.1%	49.3%	
10/01/02-09/30/03	50.8%	51.6%	
07/01/02-06/30/03	52.4%	53.6%	
04/01/02-03/31/03	51.5%	52.5%	
01/01/02-12/31/02	51.7%	52.4%	
10/01/01-09/30/02	48.7%	50.2%	
07/01/01-06/30/02	45.2%	46.8%	

Length of Time to Exit Foster Care to Adoption (3D and 3A)

This is an outcome measure reflecting the percent of children adopted within 24 months of removal of a child from the home. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

<u>Federal</u>: Of all children who were adopted from child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent had been in care for less than 24 months?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3D. Percent adopted within 24 months (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	33.3%	
04/01/05-03/31/06	32.6%	33.1%
01/01/05-12/31/05	32.2%	32.0%
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	38.2%
07/01/04-06/30/05	37.3%	38.7%
04/01/04-03/31/05	34.7%	35.7%
01/01/04-12/31/04	37.6%	37.5%
10/01/03-09/30/04	33.8%	34.2%
07/01/03-06/30/04	33.3%	33.5%
04/01/03-03/31/04	33.6%	33.8%
01/01/03-12/31/03	33.1%	35.2%
10/01/02-09/30/03	36.2%	36.7%
07/01/02-06/30/03	36.4%	37.2%

<u>State:</u> For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were adopted within 24 months? URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/exits/

3A. Percent adopted within 24 months (entry co	hort)	
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/03-06/30/04	7.7%	
04/01/03-03/31/04	8.5%	8.4%
01/01/03-12/31/03	8.5%	8.1%
10/01/02-09/30/03	N.A.	8.2%
07/01/02-06/30/03	7.3%	7.3%
04/01/02-03/31/03	7.0%	7.0%
01/01/02-12/31/02	7.1%	7.0%
10/01/01-09/30/02	7.7%	7.5%
07/01/01-06/30/02	10.0%	9.6%
04/01/01-03/31/02	8.8%	8.3%
01/01/01-12/31/01	8.3%	7.7%
10/01/00-09/30/01	8.0%	7.7%
07/01/00-06/30/01	6.5%	6.3%

Multiple Foster Care Placements (3B and 3C)

These measures reflect the number of children with multiple placements within 12 months of placement. This data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: For all children in child welfare supervised foster care for less than 12 months during the 12month study period, what percent had no more than two placements?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3B. Percent with 1-2 placements within 12 months (Fed)			
12-month study period	Original	Updated	
07/01/05-06/30/06	77.1%		
04/01/05-03/31/06	76.1%	76.2%	
01/01/05-12/31/05	76.3%	76.7%	
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	77.5%	
07/01/04-06/30/05	82.7%	80.0%	
04/01/04-03/31/05	82.0%	79.5%	
01/01/04-12/31/04	80.5%	77.5%	
10/01/03-09/30/04	81.9%	78.5%	
07/01/03-06/30/04	82.0%	78.5%	
04/01/03-03/31/04	83.4%	79.9%	
01/01/03-12/31/03	82.2%	78.8%	
10/01/02-09/30/03	81.7%	77.6%	
07/01/02-06/30/03	82.4%	79.3%	

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, and were in care for 12 months, what percent had no more than two placements?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/stability/

3C. Percent with 1-2 placements – if still in care at 12 months (entry cohort)					
12-month study period Original Updated					
07/01/04-06/30/05	51.5%				
04/01/04-03/31/05	49.4%	49.5%			
01/01/04-12/31/04	47.4%	48.0%			
10/01/03-09/30/04	N.A.	46.7%			
07/01/03-06/30/04	52.9%	47.5%			
04/01/03-03/31/04	54.8%	49.4%			
01/01/03-12/31/03	52.7%	47.4%			
10/01/02-09/30/03	48.7%	44.1%			
07/01/02-06/30/03	45.2%	41.9%			
04/01/02-03/31/03	44.5%	39.8%			
01/01/02-12/31/02	46.0%	39.0%			
10/01/01-09/30/02	48.4%	41.2%			
07/01/01-06/30/02	48.8%	40.8%			

Rate of Foster Care Re-Entry (3F and 3G)

This measure reflects the number of children who re-enter foster care subsequent to reunification or guardianship. The data was developed by UCB. It is a federal and state outcome measure.

Federal: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care during the 12-month study period, what percent were subsequent entries within 12 months of a prior exit?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cfsrdata/standards/cfsr_standardsForm.asp

3F. Percent of admissions who are re-entries (Fed)		
12-month study period	Original	Updated
07/01/05-06/30/06	10.3%	
04/01/05-03/31/06	10.0%	9.9%
01/01/05-12/31/05	10.8%	10.2%
10/01/04-09/30/05	N.A.	11.7%
07/01/04-06/30/05	12.0%	12.1%
04/01/04-03/31/05	13.4%	13.6%
01/01/04-12/31/04	14.2%	14.4%
10/01/03-09/30/04	13.7%	13.9%
07/01/03-06/30/04	14.2%	14.3%
04/01/03-03/31/04	13.3%	13.5%
01/01/03-12/31/03	12.8%	13.0%
10/01/02-09/30/03	12.8%	13.0%
07/01/02-06/30/03	13.3%	12.8%

State: For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period and were reunified within 12 months of entry, what percent re-entered foster care within 12 months of reunification?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Cohorts/reentries/

3G. Percent who re-entered within 12 months of reunification (entry cohort reunified within						
12 months)						
12-month study period Original Updated						
07/01/03-06/30/04	13.0%					
04/01/03-03/31/04	18.1%	16.5%				
01/01/03-12/31/03	17.2%	16.1%				
10/01/02-09/30/03	N.A.	15.2%				
07/01/02-06/30/03	15.1%	14.7%				
04/01/02-03/31/03	12.6%	13.0%				
01/01/02-12/31/02	13.5%	13.8%				
10/01/01-09/30/02	16.2%	14.5%				
07/01/01-06/30/02	15.2%	13.8%				
04/01/01-03/31/02	15.6%	13.9%				
01/01/01-12/31/01	15.5%	14.1%				
10/01/00-09/30/01	12.0%	11.7%				
07/01/00-06/30/01	14.4%	14.1%				

CHILD & FAMILY WELL-BEING OUTCOMES

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children in foster care retain relationships with the family and extended communities with whom they are associated at the time of their removal from their parents.

Siblings Placed Together in Foster Care (4A)

These measures reflect the number of children placed with all or some of their siblings in foster care. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children in child welfare supervised foster care on the point-in-time, of those with siblings in care, what percent were placed with some and/or all of their siblings?

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/siblings.asp

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with ALL siblings				
Point-in-time	Original Updated			
Jul 1, 2006	49.1%			
Apr 1, 2006	47.4%	49.1%		
Jan 1, 2006	44.9%	46.5%		
Oct 1, 2005	45.7%	47.3%		
Jul 1, 2005	46.4%	48.0%		
Apr 1, 2005	48.4%	50.2%		
Jan 1, 2005	48.5%	49.5%		
Oct 1, 2004	46.3%	48.9%		
Jul 1, 2004	46.3%	46.9%		
Apr 1, 2004	46.1%	47.6%		
Jan 1, 2004	46.3%	46.8%		
Oct 1, 2003	41.9%	43.6%		
Jul 1, 2003	42.2%	44.0%		

4A. Percent of children in foster care that are placed with SOME or ALL siblings			
Point-in-time Original Up			
Jul 1, 2006	68.7%	-	
Apr 1, 2006	69.4%	69.9%	
Jan 1, 2006	68.7%	69.8%	
Oct 1, 2005	70.1%	70.9%	
Jul 1, 2005	70.3%	71.5%	
Apr 1, 2005	68.4%	69.9%	
Jan 1, 2005	69.2%	69.9%	
Oct 1, 2004	69.0%	70.5%	
Jul 1, 2004	68.8%	70.2%	
Apr 1, 2004	67.8%	68.5%	
Jan 1, 2004	69.0%	69.5%	
Oct 1, 2003	66.6%	68.1%	
Jul 1, 2003	66.5%	67.9%	

Foster Care Placement in Least Restrictive Settings (4B)

This measure reflects the percent of children placed in each type of foster care setting. The data was developed by UCB. It is a state outcome measure.

For all children who entered child welfare supervised foster care for the first time (and stayed at least five days) during the 12-month study period, what percent were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements (first placement type, predominant placement type)? What percent of children in child welfare supervised foster care were in kin, foster, FFA, group, and other placements at the specified point in time?

URL: (entry cohort) http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/cohorts/firstentries/
URL: (point in time) http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/pointintime/fostercare/childwel/ageandethnic.asp

	Initial Placement	Primary Placement	Point in Time (PIT) Placement
	07/01/05-06/30/06	07/01/05-06/30/06	Jul 1, 2006
	Original	Original	Original
4B. Relative	9.4%	35.4%	43.0%
4B. Foster Home	21.4%	30.5%	17.4%
4B. FFA	2.6%	11.9%	20.1%
4B. Group/Shelter	66.0%	21.2%	11.6%
4B. Other	0.5%	1.1%	7.9%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement			ime (PIT) ement
	04/01/05	-03/31/06	04/01/05-03/31/06		Apr 1	2006
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.7%	9.5%	35.9%	37.0%	44.2%	44.2%
4B. Foster Home	18.6%	18.6%	30.1%	28.4%	16.9%	17.0%
4B. FFA	2.4%	2.3%	14.2%	13.1%	20.7%	20.8%
4B. Group/Shelter	68.4%	68.8%	17.8%	19.7%	10.8%	10.8%
4B. Other	0.9%	0.9%	2.0%	1.8%	7.4%	7.2%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	01/01/05	-12/31/05	01/01/05-12/31/05		Jan 1, 2006	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.1%	8.6%	36.9%	38.6%	44.6%	44.5%
4B. Foster Home	18.6%	18.6%	30.1%	27.0%	17.0%	17.2%
4B. FFA	1.7%	1.6%	12.6%	12.1%	19.7%	19.7%
4B. Group/Shelter	70.0%	70.6%	18.8%	20.6%	11.3%	11.6%
4B. Other	0.6%	0.5%	1.6%	1.6%	7.4%	6.9%

	Initial Placement			nary ement	Point in Time (PIT) Placement		
	10/01/04	-09/30/05	10/01/04-09/30/05		Oct 1, 2005		
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	
4B. Relative	N.A.	8.2%	N.A.	38.1%	44.0%	44.2%	
4B. Foster Home	N.A.	17.1%	N.A.	26.5%	17.4%	17.4%	
4B. FFA	N.A.	1.2%	N.A.	11.8%	19.7%	19.9%	
4B. Group/Shelter	N.A.	72.7%	N.A.	21.3%	11.8%	11.5%	
4B. Other	N.A.	0.7%	N.A.	2.3%	7.1%	6.9%	

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		Point in Time (PIT) Placement	
	07/01/04	-06/30/05	07/01/04-06/30/05		Jul 1, 2005	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.0%	8.7%	35.9%	41.8%	43.5%	43.8%
4B. Foster Home	26.5%	16.1%	30.2%	24.3%	18.1%	18.2%
4B. FFA	4.0%	1.5%	10.6%	9.5%	19.5%	19.6%
4B. Group/Shelter	59.6%	72.9%	20.8%	21.2%	11.1%	10.8%
4B. Other	0.9%	0.8%	2.5%	3.2%	7.8%	7.5%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	04/01/04	-03/31/05	04/01/04	04/01/04-03/31/05		2005
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	8.5%	8.4%	34.5%	40.2%	43.0%	43.1%
4B. Foster Home	25.4%	16.0%	30.7%	24.1%	17.7%	18.0%
4B. FFA	4.3%	1.7%	9.1%	8.4%	19.7%	19.8%
4B. Group/Shelter	61.2%	73.2%	22.7%	24.0%	11.5%	11.4%
4B. Other	0.6%	0.7%	3.1%	3.3%	8.1%	7.7%

	Initial Placement			nary ement	PIT Placement		
	01/01/04	01/01/04-12/31/04		01/01/04-12/31/04		Jan 1, 2005	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	
4B. Relative	8.2%	7.8%	35.2%	39.2%	45.1%	45.0%	
4B. Foster Home	21.7%	15.1%	29.1%	24.1%	16.7%	16.4%	
4B. FFA	4.3%	2.4%	10.3%	9.3%	20.5%	20.4%	
4B. Group/Shelter	64.7%	73.6%	21.6%	23.5%	10.3%	10.4%	
4B. Other	1.0%	1.0%	3.8%	3.9%	7.4%	8.0%	

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	10/01/03	-09/30/04	10/01/03-09/30/04		Oct 1	2004
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.2%	8.8%	36.8%	40.9%	44.4%	44.9%
4B. Foster Home	22.2%	15.7%	28.2%	23.7%	16.2%	16.1%
4B. FFA	3.8%	2.1%	12.2%	10.3%	21.2%	20.9%
4B. Group/Shelter	63.7%	72.3%	19.6%	21.3%	10.2%	10.2%
4B. Other	1.1%	1.2%	3.2%	3.8%	8.0%	7.9%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	07/01/03	-06/30/04	07/01/03-06/30/04		Jul 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.3%	9.1%	38.1%	40.7%	43.8%	43.6%
4B. Foster Home	23.0%	16.6%	30.3%	25.8%	16.3%	16.6%
4B. FFA	3.5%	2.6%	11.1%	10.7%	22.2%	22.1%
4B. Group/Shelter	63.0%	70.5%	18.4%	19.6%	9.5%	9.4%
4B. Other	1.2%	1.1%	2.2%	3.2%	8.2%	8.3%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	04/01/03	-03/31/04	04/01/03-03/31/04		Apr 1, 2004	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	9.4%	8.8%	39.0%	40.9%	43.3%	43.7%
4B. Foster Home	22.8%	16.9%	27.1%	24.3%	16.3%	16.1%
4B. FFA	4.1%	3.2%	12.8%	12.6%	22.2%	22.0%
4B. Group/Shelter	62.6%	70.1%	19.3%	19.5%	9.6%	9.5%
4B. Other	1.1%	1.0%	1.9%	2.7%	8.7%	8.5%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	01/01/03	-12/31/03	01/01/03-12/31/03		Jan 1	, 2004
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	7.7%	7.4%	32.3%	39.2%	39.4%	44.1%
4B. Foster Home	21.1%	16.2%	26.4%	21.9%	15.3%	14.9%
4B. FFA	4.4%	3.1%	13.1%	12.8%	22.7%	22.3%
4B. Group/Shelter	65.4%	70.6%	22.6%	23.0%	10.0%	9.7%
4B. Other	1.4%	2.7%	5.7%	3.2%	12.6%	9.0%

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement		
	10/01/02	2-09/30/03	10/01/02-09/30/03		Oct 1	Oct 1, 2003	
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	
4B. Relative	6.3%	5.3%	29.3%	37.0%	40.0%	43.8%	
4B. Foster Home	17.7%	12.4%	27.6%	21.9%	15.3%	15.0%	
4B. FFA	4.3%	2.8%	13.3%	12.4%	22.7%	22.4%	
4B. Group/Shelter	70.3%	72.3%	24.2%	24.8%	10.2%	10.1%	
4B. Other	1.4%	7.1%	5.6%	3.9%	11.9%	8.7%	

	Initial Placement		Primary Placement		PIT Placement	
	07/01/02	-06/30/03	07/01/02-06/30/03		Jul 1,	2003
	Original	Updated	Original	Updated	Original	Updated
4B. Relative	4.5%	3.3%	27.6%	34.8%	39.6%	43.2%
4B. Foster Home	12.9%	8.4%	24.1%	19.0%	16.4%	16.1%
4B. FFA	3.1%	1.9%	13.6%	13.3%	23.0%	22.5%
4B. Group/Shelter	78.4%	73.8%	28.4%	27.8%	10.6%	10.2%
4B. Other	1.2%	12.6%	6.3%	5.1%	10.5%	8.1%

**Rate of ICWA Placement Preferences (4E)

4E (1) This measure reflects the percent of Indian Child Welfare Act eligible children placed in foster care settings as identified with ICWA eligibility ("y"). This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure.

URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E

Q2 2006	Original		
4E. Relative Home	26.3%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	5.3%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	68.4%		
Q1 2006	Original		
4E. Relative Home	46.7%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	13.3%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	40.0%		
Q4 2005	Original		
4E. Relative Home	53.8%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	7.7%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	38.5%		
	1		
Q3 2005	Original		
4E. Relative Home	42.9%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	42.9%		
00.0005	Out with all		
Q2 2005	Original		
4E. Relative Home	18.8%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0% 68.8%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	08.8%		
Q1 2005	Original		
4E. Relative Home	57.1%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	14.3%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	28.6%		
42. Non relative Non malan ranny	20.070		
Q4 2004	Original		
4E. Relative Home	56.3%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	6.3%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	37.5%		
Q3 2004	Original		
4E. Relative Home	66.7%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	6.7%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	26.7%		
Q2 2004	Original		
4E. Relative Home	64.3%		
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%		
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	28.6%		

Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	80.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	10.0%
Q4 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	100.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	0.0%
Q3 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	87.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	12.5%
Q2 2003	Original
4E. Relative Home	54.5%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	27.3%

4E (2) This measure reflects the percent of Indian Child Welfare Act eligible children as identified with primary or mixed (multi) ethnicity of American Indian placed in foster care settings. This data was developed by CDSS. It is a state outcome measure. URL: http://cssr.berkeley.edu/CWSCMSreports/Ccfsr.asp#4E

Q2 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	21.7%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	4.3%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	66.7%
Q1 2006	Original
4E. Relative Home	19.6%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	8.9%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	66.1%
Q4 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	17.4%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	10.9%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	65.2%
	1
Q3 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	54.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	41.3%
Q2 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	18.2%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	6.8%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	68.2%

Q1 2005	Original
4E. Relative Home	42.6%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	4.3%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	48.9%
Q4 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	45.7%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	50.0%
Q3 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	54.3%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.2%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	41.3%
Q2 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	50.0%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	2.3%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	45.5%
Q1 2004	Original
4E. Relative Home	61.1%
4E. Non-Relative Indian Family	0.0%
4E. Non-Relative Non-Indian Family	33.3%

^{**}Measure 4E(2) was recently developed to reflect percent of ICWA eligible placement types. For county information only. CDSS does not update these measures due to recent methodology changes, which render comparisons between current and previous data meaningless. NOTE: For measures 4E (1) and 4E (2), values for Q1 2004 and Q2 2004 were corrected in April 2006 reports and therefore may not correspond to previously published values.

Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood (8A)

These measures are designed to reflect the degree to which children and families receiving child welfare services are receiving the services necessary to provide for their care and developmental needs.

This measure reflects the number of foster children eligible for Independent Living Services who receive appropriate educational and training, and/or achieve employment or economic self-sufficiency. The data was collected by CDSS. This measure includes data regarding youths, ages 16 through 20, who receive services from the Independent Living Foster Care Program. It identifies the number of youths receiving Independent Living Program services, the program outcomes for those youths, and certain client characteristics. This report is limited to a subset population obtained from State of California form 405A. It is a state outcome measure.

This data is based on hard copy reports submitted by counties to the CDSS for the time period covered by the report. These numbers are updated once per year.

URL: http://www.dss.cahwnet.gov/research/SOC405A-In 415.htm

Number of Children Transitioning to Self-Sufficient Adulthood with:

10/01/04-9/30/05	Original
8A. High School Diploma	90
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	97
8A. Received ILP Services	478
8A. Completed Vocational Training	36
8A. Employed or other means of support	192
10/01/03-9/30/04	Original
8A. High School Diploma	141
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	111
8A. Received ILP Services	554
8A. Completed Vocational Training	20
8A. Employed or other means of support	190
10/01/02-9/30/03	Original
8A. High School Diploma	129
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	78
8A. Received ILP Services	574
8A. Completed Vocational Training	59
8A. Employed or other means of support	176
10/01/01-09/30/02	Original
8A. High School Diploma	90
8A. Enrolled in College/Higher Education	70
8A. Received ILP Services	549
8A. Completed Vocational Training	125
8A. Employed or other means of support	183